



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,138	06/25/2001	Daniel Chi-Hong Lin	018781-006210US	8826
20350	7590	11/30/2005	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			GAMETT, DANIEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/891,138	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Daniel C. Gamett, PhD	<b>Art Unit</b> 1647	


--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 14 March 2005 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.  
**EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☒ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☐ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and **relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☒ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See Continuation Sheet.

  
**BRENDA BRUMBACK**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600**

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):  
The following is an excerpt from an Order Returning Undocketed Appeal to the examiner from BPAIA, mailed 11/17/2005.

Review of the application reveals that the following section is missing from the Appeal Brief filed March 14 , 2005:

(1) "Related proceedings appendix," as set forth in 37 CFR â 41 .37(c)(1)(x).

Accordingly, the Appeal Brief filed on March 14, 2005 does not comply with the new rules under 37 CFR â 41 .37(c). It is required that a supplemental Appeal Brief be submitted that is in compliance with 37 CFR j 41 .37(c). For more information on the Board's new rules, please see the web page entitled "More Information on the Rules of Practice Before the BPAI," Final Rule at: <http://-ausptoagov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/fooo4/moreinfo.html>

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is returned to the examiner to:

(1) hold the Appeal Brief filed on March 14 , 2005 defective;

(2) to notify appellants to file a supplemental Appeal Brief in compliance with 37 CFR â 41 .37;

(3) for the examiner to consider the supplemental Appeal Brief, vacate the Examiner's Answer mailed May 27, 2005, and issue a revised Examiner's Answer in accordance with the rules effective September 13, 2004;..